

HSE/Math in Moscow 2016-2017// Characteristic classes // Problems for discussion// Problem sheet 4

In this problem sheet we calculate the right hand side of the index formula for several classical differential operators. But first we need a little bit of K-theory.

The Grothendieck group

Question 1. Suppose that $(M, +)$ is a(n associative) commutative monoid. Recall that M has an identity element, which we denote 0. A typical example would be the set $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ of non-negative integers with the addition operation. We set $K^0(M)$ to be the set of all couples $(m, n) \in M^2$ modulo the equivalence relation $(m_1, n_1) \sim (m_2, n_2)$ iff there is a $k \in M$ such that $m_1 + n_2 + k = m_2 + n_1 + k$.

(a) Show that this is indeed an equivalence relation. Show also that if $(m_1, n_1) \sim (m_2, n_2)$ and $(m'_1, n'_1) \sim (m'_2, n'_2)$, then $(m_1 + m'_1, n_1 + n'_1) \sim (m_2 + m'_2, n_2 + n'_2)$.

(b) Show that component-wise addition on M^2 induces an operation on $K^0(M)$ which is in fact a group operation. Show also that the map i which takes $m \in M$ to the class of $(m, 0)$ is a monoid homomorphism.

(c) Show that $K^0(M)$ is universal in the following sense: if G is an abelian group and $f : M \rightarrow G$ is a monoid homomorphism, then there is a unique group homomorphism $\bar{f} : K^0(M) \rightarrow G$ such that the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 M & \xrightarrow{i} & K^0(M) \\
 & \searrow f & \downarrow \bar{f} \\
 & & G
 \end{array}$$

Show also that if $K^{0'}(M)$ is another abelian group with this property, then $K^0(M)$ is isomorphic to $K^{0'}(M)$, and the isomorphism is unique if one requires it to commute with the monoid maps from M .

Basically, this is just the construction of negative numbers in a fancy guise. If $M = \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, then (m, n) represents the number $m - n$.

Integers can not only be added, they can also be multiplied. Let us try to incorporate this in our construction. Suppose that M as above is equipped with another operation \cdot . As usual, we will often write mn for $m \cdot n$ for $m, n \in M$ and the operation \cdot is often referred to as multiplication. The triple $(M, +, \cdot)$ is called a *semiring* iff $m(n + k) = mn + mk$ and $(n + k)m = nm + km$ and $0 \cdot m = m \cdot 0 = 0$ for all $m, n, k \in M$. We say that M is *commutative*, respectively *associative*, if \cdot is commutative, respectively associative.

Question 2. Suppose $(M, +, \cdot)$ is a commutative associative semiring with a multiplicative identity 1.

(a) Mimicking the construction of multiplication of integers we introduce a multiplication on M^2 :

$$(m_1, n_1) \cdot (m_2, n_2) = (m_1 m_2 + n_1 n_2, n_1 m_2 + m_1 n_2), m_1, m_2, n_1, n_2 \in M.$$

Show that this turns $K^0(M)$ into a commutative associative ring with the identity element being the equivalence class of $(1, 0)$. Show also that the map i from question 1 is a semiring homomorphism.

(b) State and prove the analogue of part (c) of the previous question with an abelian group G replaced by an associative commutative ring R with identity element.

We will not go into algebraic K-theory in any detail, but nevertheless we will mention a couple of examples.

Question 3. (a) Set M to be the set of isomorphism classes of finitely generated projective modules over a ring R . Then the group $K^0(M)$ is denoted $K^0(R)$. Show that if R is a field or \mathbb{Z} , then $K^0(R) \cong \mathbb{Z}$, with the isomorphism given by rank.

(b) Show however that if in part (a) one does not require the modules to be finitely generated, then one ends up with a monoid M such that $K^0(M) = 0$. Chances are, the argument you will find will be the same as the one discovered by S. Eilenberg in 1950's, hence the term *Eilenberg swindle*.

Now let X be a topological space and set M to be the set of isomorphism classes of complex vector bundles on X (of finite rank). The group $K^0(M)$ is then denoted $\mathcal{K}(X)$. If X is compact and Hausdorff, this group coincides with $K^0(X)$ which is defined as the set of homotopy classes of continuous maps $X \rightarrow BU$. We will prove this later. The groups $K^0(X)$ are more tractable, as they extend to a cohomology theory $K^*(-)$, while the groups $\mathcal{K}(X)$ are a bit mysterious for non-compact X . Note however that if X is paracompact and Hausdorff, e.g. a CW-complex, then there is a homomorphism $\mathcal{K}(X) \rightarrow K^0(X)$ which is functorial in X .

Note that if X is compact and Hausdorff, then R.Šwan's theorem says that

$$\mathcal{K}(X) \cong K^0(X) \cong K^0(C(X, \mathbb{C}))$$

where $C(X, \mathbb{C})$ is the ring of all complex-valued continuous functions on X . If M is a smooth manifold, then one can replace continuous functions with smooth functions in this theorem.

Question 4. Prove that the Chern character map ch extends to a ring homomorphism $\text{ch} : \mathcal{K}(X) \rightarrow H^{**}(X, \mathbb{Q})$.

We will also need relative K-groups. Given a topological pair (X, Y) we set M to be the monoid of all equivalence classes of triples (E, F, f) where E, F are finite rank complex vector bundles over X and $f : E|_Y \rightarrow F|_Y$ is an isomorphism; two couples (E, F, f) and (E', F', f') are equivalent iff there are isomorphisms $g : E \rightarrow E'$ and $h : F \rightarrow F'$ such that the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} E|_Y & \xrightarrow{f} & F|_Y \\ g \downarrow & & \downarrow h \\ E'|_Y & \xrightarrow{f'} & F'|_Y \end{array}$$

The operation on M is component-wise direct sum. We set $\mathcal{K}(X, Y)$ to be the quotient of $K(M)$ by the subgroup generated by the classes of all triples (E, F, f) such that f extends to an isomorphism $E \rightarrow F$.

If (X, Y) is a CW-pair, then we can double X along Y . This means we can take two copies X_1, X_2 of X and glue them along Y . Let X' be the resulting space.

Question 5. (a) Supposing X, Y, X' are as above, prove that X' retracts onto Y .

(b) Prove that there is a map $\text{ch} : \mathcal{K}(X, Y) \rightarrow H^{**}(X, Y)$ such that the following diagram commutes

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{K}(X, Y) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{K}(X) \\ \text{ch} \downarrow & & \downarrow \text{ch} \\ H^{**}(X, Y, \mathbb{Q}) & \longrightarrow & H^{**}(X, \mathbb{Q}) \end{array}$$

where the top arrow takes a triple (E, F, f) as above to the class of E in $\mathcal{K}(X)$ minus the class of F .

The de Rham operator

Recall that $\tilde{\gamma}^m$ is the tautological oriented bundle over the infinite orientable Grassmannian $\tilde{G}_m = BSO(m)$. In this problem sheet we suppose that m is even and set $l = \frac{m}{2}$. Using question 12 from the previous problem sheet on characteristic classes we construct a CW-complex X and a map $q : X \rightarrow \tilde{G}_m$ which induces an injective map in rational cohomology and such that $q^*(\tilde{\gamma}^m)$ is a direct sum $E_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus E_l$ of oriented rank 2 bundles. By complexifying all bundles and using question 5 from ibid. we see that

$$q^*(\tilde{\gamma}^m \otimes \mathbb{C}) = L_1 \oplus L_1^* \cdots \oplus L_l \oplus L_l^*$$

where L_i are complex line bundles chosen so that $L_i \cong E_i$ as oriented real rank 2 bundles. We set $x_i = c_1(L_i)$ (so $c_1(L_i^*) = -x_i$).

Question 6. (a) Show that

$$\text{ch}(\Lambda^{\text{even}}(q^*\tilde{\gamma}^m) \otimes \mathbb{C} - \Lambda^{\text{odd}}(q^*\tilde{\gamma}^m) \otimes \mathbb{C}) = \prod_{i=1}^l (1 - e^{x_i})(1 - e^{-x_i}).$$

(b) Show that the Euler class of $q^*(\tilde{\gamma}^m)$ is $\prod_{i=1}^l x_i$.

Finally, the total Todd class of a complex vector bundle $E \rightarrow X$ of rank r is defined as follows: express

$$\prod_{i=1}^r \frac{x_i}{1 - e^{-x_i}}$$

using elementary symmetric polynomials in x_1, \dots, x_r and substitute $c_i(E)$ for the i -th elementary symmetric polynomial. The resulting element of $H^{**}(X, \mathbb{Q})$ is the total Todd class $\text{Td}(E)$ of E .

Question 7. Prove that

$$\text{ch}(\Lambda^{\text{even}}(\tilde{\gamma}^m) \otimes \mathbb{C} - \Lambda^{\text{odd}}(\tilde{\gamma}^m) \otimes \mathbb{C}) \text{Td}(\tilde{\gamma}^m \otimes \mathbb{C}) = (-1)^l (e^{\tilde{\gamma}^m})^2.$$

Let us now equip $\tilde{\gamma}^m$ with a metric. We denote the resulting unit disk and unit sphere bundles D and S . Let p be the projection from the total space of $\tilde{\gamma}^m$ to \tilde{G}_m .

Question 8. Let ξ be an element of the fibre $\tilde{\gamma}_x$ of $\tilde{\gamma}^m$ over $x \in \tilde{G}_m$. Prove that $-\wedge \xi + i_\xi$ induces an isomorphism between $\Lambda^{\text{even}}(\tilde{\gamma}^m)$ and $\Lambda^{\text{odd}}(\tilde{\gamma}^m)$ pulled back to the fibre S_x of S over x . Here $-\wedge \xi$ is exterior multiplication by ξ and i_ξ is substitution of ξ into an element of $\Lambda^i(\tilde{\gamma}_x)$. Use this to construct an isomorphism σ between the pullbacks of $\Lambda^{\text{even}}(\tilde{\gamma}^m)$ and $\Lambda^{\text{odd}}(\tilde{\gamma}^m)$ to S .

Set $d = d(p^* \Lambda^{\text{even}}(\tilde{\gamma}^m) \otimes \mathbb{C}, p^* \Lambda^{\text{odd}}(\tilde{\gamma}^m) \otimes \mathbb{C}, \sigma)$. This is an element of $K(D, S)$ and we want to calculate $\text{Th}^{-1}(\text{ch}(d))$ where

$$\text{Th} : H^*(\tilde{G}_m, \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow H^*(D, S, \mathbb{Q})$$

is the rational Thom isomorphism given by $x \mapsto x \smile u$ with $u =$ the rational Thom class, see problem sheet 1 on characteristic classes.

Question 9. (a) Let $E \rightarrow X$ be an oriented real vector bundle of rank r with a metric. Let $D(E)$, respectively $S(E)$, be the unit disk bundle, respectively the unit sphere bundle. Show that the composite map

$$H^*(X) \xrightarrow{\text{Th}} H^{*+r}(D(E), S(E)) \rightarrow H^*(D(E)) \xrightarrow{\cong} H^*(X)$$

is multiplication by the Euler class $e(E)$.

(b) Using part (a) and the fact that ch is a natural transformation show that

$$\text{Th}^{-1}(\text{ch}(d))e(\tilde{\gamma}^m) = \text{ch}(\Lambda^{\text{even}}(\tilde{\gamma}^m) \otimes \mathbb{C} - \Lambda^{\text{odd}}(\tilde{\gamma}^m) \otimes \mathbb{C}).$$

Question 10. Prove using the fact that $H^*(\tilde{G}_m, \mathbb{Q})$ has no zero-divisors that

$$\text{Th}^{-1}(\text{ch}(d)) \text{Td}(\tilde{\gamma}^m \otimes \mathbb{C}) = (-1)^l e(\tilde{\gamma}^m).$$

Now recall that the Atiyah-Singer index theorem gives the following recipe for calculating the index of an elliptic differential operator $D : \Gamma(E)\Gamma(F)$, where E, F are smooth complex vector bundles over a smooth compact orientable manifold M of dimension m : let $p : T^*M \rightarrow M$ be the projection. We equip M with a Riemannian metric and let $D(T^*M)$ and $S(T^*M)$ be the corresponding unit disk bundle and unit sphere bundle respectively. The symbol $\sigma = \sigma_D$ of D restricted to $S^*(T^*M)$ gives us an isomorphism $\sigma : p^*(E) \rightarrow p^*(F)$. This isomorphism in turn gives us an element d_D in $K^*(D(T^*M), S(T^*M))$, namely

$$d_D = d(p^*E, p^*F, \sigma).$$

We then have to evaluate the $\text{Th}^{-1}(d_D) \text{Td}(T^*M \otimes \mathbb{C})$ on the fundamental class of M :

$$\text{index } D = (-1)^{\frac{m(m+1)}{2}} \int_M \text{Th}^{-1}(d_D) \text{Td}(T^*M \otimes \mathbb{C}).$$

Note that D participates in this formula only via its symbol σ , which is purely topological object: namely, it is a section of the Hom bundle $\text{Hom}(p^*E, p^*F)$.

Question 11. We know that if $E = \Lambda^{\text{even}}(T^*M)$, $F = \Lambda^{\text{odd}}(T^*M)$ and $D = d + d^*$, then the symbol σ of D at $\xi \in T_x^*M$ is given by

$$- \wedge \xi + i_\xi.$$

Prove that the index of D is equal $\int_M e(T^*M)$.

The signature operator

So we see that the index theorem implies that the Euler characteristic of an orientable even-dimensional manifold is the Euler class evaluated on the fundamental class of M . This is reassuring, but a bit boring: it is not too hard to prove this without the index theorem, see e.g. Milnor-Stasheff, Characteristic classes, Corollary 11.12.

Question 12. Let V be a finite-dimensional vector space over a field k of characteristic $\neq 2$ and let $q : V \rightarrow k$ be a quadratic form. Prove that the Clifford algebra $\text{Cl}(V, q)$ is isomorphic as a vector space to the exterior algebra Λ^*V functorially in V (in particular, the isomorphism depends only on V and not on q).

Question 13. Let k, V, q be as above. You may assume that $k = \mathbb{R}$ and q is positive definite. Set $n = \dim_k V$. Using the isomorphism from question 1, describe the Hodge star operator

$$* : \Lambda^i(V) \rightarrow \Lambda^{n-i}V$$

in terms of the Clifford multiplication. [Hint: choose an orthogonal basis and consider the product of all its elements; there might be sign issues to take care of.]

Question 14. Let k be as above and let (V, q_1) and (W, q_2) be finite-dimensional k -vector spaces equipped with quadratic forms q_1, q_2 . Let $q_1 \oplus q_2$ be the direct sum of q_1 and q_2 . Set $n = \dim_k V, m = \dim_k W$ and let $*_V, *_W, *_{V \oplus W}$ be the Hodge star operators on V, W and $V \oplus W$ equipped with the quadratic forms q_1, q_2 and $q_1 \oplus q_2$ respectively. We assume that both m and n are even.

(a) Prove that

$$*_{V \oplus W}(x \wedge y) = (-1)^{ij} *_V(x) \wedge *_W(y)$$

for all $x \in \Lambda^i V, y \in \Lambda^j W$.

We now define operators α_V, α_W and $\alpha_{V \oplus W}$ on the complexified exterior algebras $\Lambda^*V \otimes \mathbb{C}, \Lambda^*W \otimes \mathbb{C}$ and $\Lambda^*(V \oplus W) \otimes \mathbb{C}$ respectively using the formula from the lectures

$$\alpha_V(x) = (i)^{p(p-1) + \frac{n}{2}} *_V(x)$$

for $x \in \Lambda^p(V) \otimes \mathbb{C}$, and similarly for W and $V \oplus W$.

(b) Prove that

$$\alpha_{V \oplus W}(x \wedge y) = \alpha_V(x) \wedge \alpha_W(y)$$

for all $x \in \Lambda^i V \otimes \mathbb{C}, y \in \Lambda^j W \otimes \mathbb{C}$.

(c) Show that $\alpha^2 = \text{Id}$. So the exterior algebra $\Lambda^* V \otimes \mathbb{C}$ decomposes as $\Lambda^*(V) \otimes \mathbb{C} = \Lambda^+ V \oplus \Lambda^- V$ where Λ^\pm denotes the eigenspaces of α with eigenvalues ± 1 , and similarly for W and $V \oplus W$. Deduce that there is an isomorphism of $\mathbb{Z}/2$ graded vector spaces

$$\Lambda^*(V \oplus W) \otimes \mathbb{C} \cong (\Lambda^* V \otimes \mathbb{C}) \otimes (\Lambda^* W \otimes \mathbb{C}).$$

Question 15. (a) Now let $E, F \rightarrow X$ be real vector bundles equipped with metrics. Let n and m be the ranks of E and F respectively. Using the above define the Hodge star operators

$$*_E : \Lambda^p E \rightarrow \Lambda^{n-p} E, *_F : \Lambda^p F \rightarrow \Lambda^{m-p} F, *_{E \oplus F} : \Lambda^p(E \oplus F) \rightarrow \Lambda^{n+m-p}(E \oplus F)$$

and the corresponding α operators

$$\alpha_E : \Lambda^p E \otimes \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \Lambda^{n-p} E \otimes \mathbb{C}, \alpha_F : \Lambda^p F \otimes \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \Lambda^{m-p} F \otimes \mathbb{C}, \alpha_{E \oplus F} : \Lambda^p(E \oplus F) \otimes \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \Lambda^{n+m-p}(E \oplus F) \otimes \mathbb{C}.$$

(b) Prove that

$$\Lambda^*(E \oplus F) \otimes \mathbb{C} \cong (\Lambda^* E \otimes \mathbb{C}) \otimes (\Lambda^* F \otimes \mathbb{C})$$

as $\mathbb{Z}/2$ -graded bundles, with the grading induced by the decomposition of the bundles into the eigensubbundles of the α operators with eigenvalues ± 1 .

(c) We now let Λ^\pm denote the eigensubbundles of $\Lambda^*(-) \otimes \mathbb{C}$ which correspond to the eigenvalues ± 1 of the α operator. Using the splitting principle prove that

$$\text{ch}(\Lambda^+ E - \Lambda^- E)$$

can be obtained as follows: express the product

$$\prod_{i=1}^{n/2} (e^{-x_i} - e^{x_i})$$

in terms of the elementary symmetric polynomials in x_i and then substitute $c_i(E \otimes \mathbb{C})$ for the i -th elementary symmetric polynomial.

Question 16. (a) Mimicking the proof for the de Rham operator from the previous section prove that the signature of a smooth compact orientable manifold M of even dimension n (and without boundary) can be obtained as follows: express the product

$$\prod_{i=1}^{n/2} \frac{x_i}{\tanh(x_i/2)}$$

in terms of the elementary symmetric polynomials in x_i^2 , then substitute $p_i(E)$ for the i -th elementary symmetric polynomial and evaluate the result on the fundamental class of M .

(b) Show that in part (a) instead of $\prod_{i=1}^{n/2} \frac{x_i}{\tanh(x_i/2)}$ one could use $\prod_{i=1}^{n/2} \frac{x_i}{\tanh x_i}$.

(c) Find an explicit formula for the signature of M in terms of the Pontrjagin classes if $n = 4$ and 8 .